arXiv:1303.1337v2 [math.CV] 18 Mar 2013

EQUICONTINUITY OF THE FAMILY OF THE OPEN DISCRETE ORLICZ–SOBOLEV MAPPINGS

E. SEVOST'YANOV

March 21, 2013

Abstract

The paper is devoted to the study of mappings with non–bounded characteristics of quasiconformality. We investigate the interconnection between the classes of the so–called ring Q–mappings and lower ring Q–mappings. It is proved that open discrete lower ring Q–mappings are ring Q^{n-1}–mappings at fixed point. As consequence we obtain the equicontinuity of the class of the open discrete Orlicz–Sobolev mappings with finite distortion at $n\geq 3$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C65; Secondary 30C62

1 Introduction

Everywhere below, D is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\geq 2$, m be a measure of Lebesgue in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\mathrm{dist}\,(A,B)$ is the Euclidean distance between the sets A and B in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The notation $f:D\rightarrow\mathbb{R}^n$ assumes that f is continuous. In what follows,

$$B(x_0,r)=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n: |x-x_0|<r\}\ ,\quad \mathbb{B}^n:=B(0,1)\,,$$

$$S(x_0,r)=\{x\,\in\,\mathbb{R}^n: |x-x_0|=r\}\,,\quad \mathbb{S}^{n-1}:=S(0,1)\,,$$

ω_{n-1} denotes the square of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Ω_n is a volume of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Recall that a mapping $f:D\rightarrow\mathbb{R}^n$ is called *open* if the image of every open set in Ω is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. A mapping $f:D\rightarrow\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a *discrete* if the preimage $f^{-1}\left(y\right)$ of every point $y\in\mathbb{R}^n$ consists of isolated points.

Following Orlicz, given a convex increasing function $\varphi:\mathbb{R}^+\rightarrow\mathbb{R}^+$, $\varphi(0)=0$, denote by L^{φ} the space of all functions $f:D\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int\limits_{D}\varphi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)\,dm(x)<\infty$$

for some $\lambda>0$. The space L^{φ} is called the *Orlicz space.* If $\varphi(t)=t^p$, then we write L^p.

The *Orlicz–Sobolev class* $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}(D)$ is the class of all locally integrable functions f given in D with the first distributional derivatives whose gradient ∇f belongs locally in D to the Orlicz class. Note that by definition $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}\subset W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$. As usual, we write $f\in W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}$ if $\varphi(t)=t^p$, $p\geq 1$.

Later on, we also write $f\in W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ for a locally integrable vector-function $f=(f_1,\ldots,f_m)$ of n real variables $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ if $f_i\in W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ and

$$\int\limits_{D}\varphi\left(|\nabla f(x)|\right)\,dm(x)<\infty$$

where $|\nabla f(x)|=\sqrt{\sum\limits_{i,j}\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)^2}$. Note that in this paper we use the notation $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ for more general functions φ than in the classical Orlicz classes giving up the condition on convexity and normalization of φ.

Let ω be an open set in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^k}$, $k=1,\ldots,n-1$. A (continuous) mapping $S:\omega\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is called a k-dimensional surface S in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Sometimes we call the image $S(\omega)\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ the surface S, too. The number of preimages

$$N(S,y)={\rm card}\,S^{-1}(y)={\rm card}\,\{x\in\omega:S(x)=y\},\ y\in\mathbb{R}^n$$

is said to be a *multiplicity function* of the surface S. In other words, $N(S,y)$ denotes the multiplicity of covering of the point y by the surface S.

Recall that a k-dimensional Hausdorff area in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (or simply *area*) associated with a surface $S:\omega\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_S(B)=\mathcal{A}^k_S(B):=\int\limits_{B}N(S,y)\,dH^ky$$

for every Borel set $B\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ and, more generally, for an arbitrary set that is measurable with respect to H^k in $\mathbb{R}^n$, cf. 3.2.1 in [1].

If $\rho:\mathbb{R}^n\to\overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a Borel function, then its *integral over* S is defined by the equality

$$\int\limits_{S}\rho\,d\mathcal{A}:=\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n}\rho(y)\,N(S,y)\,dH^ky\,.$$

Given a family Γ of k-dimensional surfaces S, a Borel function $\rho:\mathbb{R}^n\to\overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is called *admissible* for Γ, abbr. $\rho\in{\rm adm}\,\Gamma$, if

$$\int\limits_{S}\rho^k\,d\mathcal{A}\geq 1\tag{1.1}$$

for every $S\in\Gamma$. Given $p\in(0,\infty)$, the *p-modulus* of Γ is the quantity

$$M_p(\Gamma)=\inf\limits_{\rho\in{\rm adm}\,\Gamma}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n}\rho^p(x)\,dm(x)\,.$$

We also set

$$M(\Gamma) = M_n(\Gamma)$$

and call the quantity $M(\Gamma)$ the *modulus of the family* Γ. The modulus is itself an outer measure in the space of all k-dimensional surfaces.

We say that a Lebesgue measurable function $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is *p-extensively admissible* for a family Γ of k-dimensional surfaces S in $\mathbb{R}^n$, abbr. $\rho \in \operatorname{ext}_p \operatorname{adm} \Gamma$, if

$$\int\limits_S \rho^k \, d\mathcal{A} \geq 1$$

for p-a.e. $S \in \Gamma$. The *p-extensive modulus* $\overline{M}_p(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the quantity

$$\overline{M}_p(\Gamma) = \inf \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^p(x) \, dm(x)$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\rho \in \operatorname{ext}_p \operatorname{adm} \Gamma$. In the case $p = n$, we use the notations $\overline{M}(\Gamma)$ and $\rho \in \operatorname{ext} \operatorname{adm} \Gamma$, respectively. For every $p \in (0, \infty)$, $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and every family Γ of k-dimensional surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\overline{M}_p(\Gamma) = M_p(\Gamma) \, .$$

The following concept is motivated by Gehring's ring definition of quasiconformality in [2], see [3, section 9]. Given domains D and D' in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$, $n \geq 2$, $x_0 \in \overline{D} \setminus \{\infty\}$, and a measurable function $Q : D \to (0, \infty)$, they say that a mapping $f : D \to D'$ is a *lower Q–mapping at the point* x_0 if

$$M(f(\Sigma_\varepsilon)) \geq \inf_{\rho \in \operatorname{ext} \operatorname{adm} \Sigma_\varepsilon} \int\limits_{D \cap R_\varepsilon} \frac{\rho^n(x)}{Q(x)} \, dm(x)$$

for every ring

$$R_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varepsilon < |x - x_0| < \varepsilon_0\} \, , \quad \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \, , \ \varepsilon_0 \in (0, d_0) \, ,$$

where $d_0 = \sup\limits_{x \in D} |x - x_0|$, and Σ_ε denotes the family of all intersections of the spheres

$$S(x_0, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| = r\} \, , \qquad r \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \, ,$$

with D. This notion can be extended to the case $x_0 = \infty \in \overline{D}$ by applying the inversion T with respect to the unit sphere in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $T(x) = x/|x|^2$, $T(\infty) = 0$, $T(0) = \infty$. Namely, a mapping $f : D \to D'$ is said to be a *lower Q–mapping at* $\infty \in \overline{D}$ if $F = f \circ T$ is a lower Q_*-mapping with $Q_* = Q \circ T$ at 0.

2 Preliminaries

The following statement can be proved by analogy with [3, Lemma 9.1]. However, we give it's proof fully.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $x_0 \in D$, $p \in [n-1, \infty)$ and $n \geq 2$. If a property P holds for $p-$a.e. surfaces $D(x_0, r) := S(x_0, r) \cap D$, then P also holds for a.e. $D(x_0, r)$ with respect to parameter $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Inversely, if P holds for a.e. $D(x_0, r)$ with respect to parameter $r \in \mathbb{R}$, then P holds for $p-$a.e. surfaces $D(x_0, r) := S(x_0, r) \cap D$.*

Proof. It is sufficiently to consider the case, when D is a bounded domain. Let us assume that the conclusion is not true. Then by regularity of the linear Lebesgue measure m_1 there is a Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $m_1(B) > 0$ and P fails for $D(x_0, r)$ at a.e. $r \in B$. If a Borel function $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty]$ is admissible for the given family Γ of spheres $S(x_0, r)$ such that $\rho \equiv 0$ outside of $E = \{x \in D : \exists\, r \in B : |x - x_0| = r\}$, by the Hölder inequality

$$\int\limits_E \rho^k(x)\ dm(x)\ \leq\ \left(\int\limits_E \rho^p(x)\ dm(x)\right)^{\frac{k}{p}} \left(\int\limits_E\ dm(x)\right)^{\frac{p-k}{p}}$$

and hence by the Fubini theorem (see [4, Theorem 8.1, Ch. III]),

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^p(x)\ dm(x)\ \geq\ \frac{\left(\int\limits_E \rho^k(x)\ dm(x)\right)^{\frac{p}{k}}}{\left(\int\limits_E\ dm(x)\right)^{\frac{p-k}{k}}}\ \geq\ \frac{(m_1(B))^{\frac{p}{k}}}{c}$$

for some $c > 0$, i.e., $M_p(\Gamma) > 0$ that contradicts the hypothesis of the lemma. The first part of the Lemma 2.1 is proved.

To prove the second part of the Lemma, let us denote by Γ_0 the family of all intersections $D_r := D(x_0, r)$ of the spheres $S(x_0, r)$ with D for which P does not holds. Let R denotes the set of all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $D_r \in \Gamma_0$. If $m_1(R) = 0$, then we obtain by Fubini theorem that $m(E) = 0$, where $E = \{x \in D : |x - x_0| = r \in R\}$. Remark that the function $\rho_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty]$ defined as ∞ at $x \in E$, and as 0 an the rest set is p–extensively admissible for Γ_0. By [3, (9.18)], $M_p(\Gamma_0) \leq \int\limits_E \rho_1^p dm(x) = 0$, thus, $M_p(\Gamma_0) = 0$. The second part of the Lemma 2.1 has been proved. □

The following statement is extension of the criterion for homeomorphisms in $\mathbb{R}^n$ to be lower Q-homeomorphisms, see in [3, Theorem 9.2].

Lemma 2.2. *Let D and D' be domains in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $n \geq 2$, let $x_0 \in \overline{D} \setminus \{\infty\}$, and let $Q : D \to (0, \infty)$ be a measurable function. A mapping $f : D \to D'$ is a lower Q-mapping at x_0 if and only if*

$$M(f(\Sigma_\varepsilon)) \geq \int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \frac{dr}{||\,Q||_{n-1}(r)} \quad \forall\ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)\,,\ \varepsilon_0 \in (0, d_0)\,,$$

where

$$\|Q\|_{n-1}(r)=\left(\int\limits_{D(x_0,r)} Q^{n-1}(x)\,d\mathcal{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

is the L_{n-1}-norm of Q over $D(x_0,r)=\{x\in D:|x-x_0|=r\}=D\cap S(x_0,r)$.

Proof. For every $\rho\in\operatorname{ext}\operatorname{adm}\Sigma_\varepsilon$, by Lemma 2.1

$$A_\rho(r)=\int\limits_{D(x_0,r)}\rho^{n-1}(x)\;d\mathcal{A}\neq 0\quad a.e.$$

and $A_\rho(r)$ is a measurable function in the parameter r, say by the Fubini theorem. Let A_{Σ_ε} denotes the class of all Lebesgue measurable functions $\rho:\mathbb{R}^n\to\overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$ with $\int\limits_{D(x_0,r)}\rho^{n-1}\,d\mathcal{A}=1$ for a.e. $D(x_0,r)$. Since $A_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}\subset\operatorname{ext}\operatorname{adm}\Sigma_\varepsilon$, we have

$$\inf_{\rho\in\operatorname{ext}\operatorname{adm}\Sigma_\varepsilon}\int\limits_{D\cap R_\varepsilon}\frac{\rho^n(x)}{Q(x)}\,dm(x)\le\inf_{\rho\in A_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}}\int\limits_{D\cap R_\varepsilon}\frac{\rho^n(x)}{Q(x)}\,dm(x)\,.\tag{2.1}$$

From other hand, given $\rho\in\operatorname{ext}\operatorname{adm}\Sigma_\varepsilon$ we obtain

$$\inf_{\rho\in A_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}}\int\limits_{D\cap R_\varepsilon}\frac{\rho^n(x)}{Q(x)}\,dm(x)\le\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0}\frac{1}{(A_\rho(r))^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}\int\limits_{D(x_0,r)}\frac{\rho^n(x)}{Q(x)}\,d\mathcal{A}dr\le\int\limits_{D\cap R_\varepsilon}\frac{\rho^n(x)}{Q(x)}\,dm(x)\tag{2.2}$$

because $A_\rho(r)\ge 1$ for a.e. $r\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$ in view of of Lemma 2.1. From (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain that $\inf\limits_{\rho\in\operatorname{ext}\operatorname{adm}\Sigma_\varepsilon}\int\limits_{D\cap R_\varepsilon}\frac{\rho^n(x)}{Q(x)}\,dm(x)=\inf\limits_{\rho\in A_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}}\int\limits_{D\cap R_\varepsilon}\frac{\rho^n(x)}{Q(x)}\,dm(x)$ and, consequently,

$$\inf_{\rho\in ext\,adm\Sigma_\varepsilon}\int\limits_{D\cap R_\varepsilon}\frac{\rho^n(x)}{Q(x)}\;dm(x)\;=\;\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0}\left(\inf_{\alpha\in I(r)}\int\limits_{D(x_0,r)}\frac{\alpha^p(x)}{Q(x)}\;d\mathcal{A}\right)dr$$

where $p=n/(n-1)>1$ and $I(r)$ denotes the set of all measurable function α on the surface $D(x_0,r)=S(x_0,r)\cap D$ such that

$$\int\limits_{D(x_0,r)}\alpha(x)\;d\mathcal{A}\;=\;1\,.$$

Hence Lemma 2.2 follows by [3, Lemma 9.2] with $X=D(x_0,r)$, the $(n-1)$–dimensional area as a measure μ on $D(x_0,r)$, $\varphi=\frac{1}{Q}|_{D(x_0,r)}$ and $p=n/(n-1)>1$. □

Let $f:D\to\mathbb{R}^n$ be a discrete open mapping, $\beta:[a,\,b)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ be a curve and $x\in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$. A curve $\alpha:[a,\,c)\to D$ is called a *maximal f–lifting* of β starting at x, if (1) $\alpha(a)=x$; (2) $f\circ\alpha=\beta|_{[a,\,c)}$; (3) if $c<c'\le b$, then there is no curve $\alpha':[a,\,c')\to D$ such that $\alpha=\alpha'|_{[a,\,c)}$ and $f\circ\alpha'=\beta|_{[a,\,c')}$. By assumption on f one implies that every curve β with $x\in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$ has a maximal f–lifting starting at the point x, see in [5, Corollary II.3.3].

Following in [5, section II.10], a *condenser* is a pair $E=(A,C)$ where $A\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ is open and C is non–empty compact set contained in A. A condenser $E=(A,C)$ is said to be in a domain G if $A\subset G$. For a given condenser $E=(A,\,C)$, we set

$$\operatorname{cap}E\quad=\quad\operatorname{cap}\,(A,\,C)\quad=\quad\inf_{u\in W_0(E)}\quad\int\limits_A|\nabla u|^n dm(x)$$

where $W_0(E)=W_0(A,\,C)$ is the family of all non–negative functions $u:A\to\mathbb{R}^1$ such that (1) $u\in C_0(A)$, (2) $u(x)\geq 1$ for $x\in C$, and (3) u is ACL. In the above formula $|\nabla u|=\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^n\,(\partial_i u)^2\right)^{1/2}$, and $\operatorname{cap}E$ is called *capacity* of the condenser E, see in [5, Section II.10]. We need the following statement, see in [5, Proposition II.10.2].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $E=(A,\,C)$ be a condenser in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let Γ_E be the family of all curves of the form $\gamma:[a,\,b)\to A$ with $\gamma(a)\in C$ and $|\gamma|\cap(A\setminus F)\neq\varnothing$ for every compact $F\subset A$. Then $\operatorname{cap}E=M\left(\Gamma_E\right)$.*

We say that a mapping $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is a *ring Q–mapping at a point* $x_0\in D$ if and only if

$$M\left(f(\Gamma(S(x_0,r_1),S(x_0,r_2),A(x_0,r_1,r_2)))\right)\leq\int\limits_{A(x_0,r_1,r_2)}Q(x)\cdot\eta^n(|x-x_0|)\;dm(x)$$

for every ring $A(x_0,r_1,r_2)$, $0<r_1<r_2<r_0=\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial D)$, and for every Lebesgue measurable function $\eta:(r_1,r_2)\to[0,\infty]$ such that

$$\int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2}\eta(r)dr\geq 1\,.$$

In what follows $q_{x_0}(r)$ denotes the integral average of $Q(x)$ under the sphere $|x-x_0|=r$,

$$q_{x_0}(r):=\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}r^{n-1}}\int\limits_{|x-x_0|=r}Q(x)\,dH^{n-1}\,.$$

The following statement was proved in one of the earlier author's work, see [6, Theorem 1].

Lemma 2.3. *Let D be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\geq 2$, and $Q:D\to[0,\infty]$ be a locally integrable measurable function. An open discrete mapping $f:D\to\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is a ring Q–mapping at a point x_0 if and only if for every $0<r_1<r_2<r_0=\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial D)$,*

$$\operatorname{cap}f(E)\leq\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{I^{n-1}}$$

where E be a condenser $E=(B(x_0,r_2),\overline{B(x_0,r_1)})$, ω_{n-1} is the area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $q_{x_0}(r)$ is the average of $Q(x)$ over the sphere $|x-x_0|=r$ and

$$I=I(r_1,r_2)=\int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2}\frac{dr}{rq_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(r)}\,.$$

Let us give some important definitions, see [7]. Let G is an open, bounded, connected set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and C_0, C_1, are disjoint compact sets in the closure of G. We will let $R = G \setminus (C_0 \cup C_1)$ and $R^* = R \cup C_0 \cup C_1$. The *conformal capacity of* C_0, C_1 *relative to the closure of* G is defined as

$$C[G, C_0, C_1] = \inf \int\limits_R |\nabla u|^n \, dm(x),$$

where the infimum is taken over all functions u which are continuous on R^*, ACL on R, and assume boundary values 1 on C_1 and 0 on C_0. Such functions are called *admissible* for $C[G, C_0, C_1]$. Sometimes we will write C for $C[G, C_0, C_1]$. We say that a *set* $\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *separates* C_0 *from* C_1 *in* R^* if $\sigma \cap R$ is closed in R and if there are disjoint sets A and B which are open in $R^* \setminus \sigma$ such that $R^* \setminus \sigma = A \cup B$, $C_0 \subset A$, and $C_1 \subset B$. Let Σ denote the class of all sets that separate C_0 from C_1 in R^*. For $n' = n/(n-1)$, we define

$$\widetilde{M_{n'}}(\Sigma) = \inf_{\rho \in \widetilde{\mathrm{adm}}\Sigma} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^{n'} dm(x) \tag{2.3}$$

where $\rho \in \widetilde{\mathrm{adm}}\,\Sigma$ means that ρ is a nonnegative Borel function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\int\limits_{\sigma \cap R} \rho \, dH^{n-1} \geq 1 \quad \forall \, \sigma \in \Sigma\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Let us remark that by Ziemer result

$$\widetilde{M_{n'}}(\Sigma) \;=\; C[G, C_0, C_1]^{\,-1/n-1}\,, \tag{2.5}$$

see [7, Theorem 3.13]. Let us also remark that by Hesse result

$$M(\Gamma(E, F, D)) = C[D, E, F] \tag{2.6}$$

whenever $(E \cap F) \cap \partial D = \varnothing$, see [8, Theorem 5.5].

3 The main Lemma

The following Lemma is the most important statement for the following investigation.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* D *and* D' *be domains in* $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$*,* $n \geq 2$*,* $x_0 \in D$ *and* $Q : D \to [0, \infty]$ *be integrable in a neighborhood of* x_0*. If* $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ *is an open discrete lower* Q*–mapping at* x_0*, then* f *is a ring* Q^**–mapping at the point* x_0 *with* $Q^* = Q^{n-1}$*.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in D$, $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \mathrm{dist}\,(x_0, \partial D)$. Without loss of generality, we can consider that $f(x_0) \neq \infty$. By Lemma 2.3 it is sufficient to prove that

$$\mathrm{cap}\, f(E) \leq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{I^{*\,n-1}}$$

where E be a condenser $E = (B(x_0, r_2), \overline{B(x_0, r_1)})$, ω_{n-1} is the area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $q^*_{x_0}(r)$ is the average of $Q^{n-1}(x)$ over the sphere $|x - x_0| = r$ and $I^* = I^*(r_1, r_2) = \int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{dr}{r q_{x_0}^{*\,\frac{1}{n-1}}(r)}$.

Given $\varepsilon \in (r_1, r_2)$, let us consider the ball $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$. Let us set $C_0 = \partial f(B(x_0, r_2))$, $C_1 = f(\overline{B(x_0, r_1)})$, $\sigma = \partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon))$. Since $\overline{B(x_0, r_2)}$ is a compactum in D, there exists a ball $B(x_0, R)$ with $\overline{f(B(x_0, r_2))} \subset B(x_0, R)$. Let us set $G := B(x_0, R)$.

Since f is continuous and open, $\overline{f(B(x_0, r_1))}$ is the compact subset of $f(B(x_0, \varepsilon))$ as well as $\overline{f(B(x_0, \varepsilon))}$ is a compact set of $f(B(x_0, r_2))$. In particular, $\overline{f(B(x_0, r_1))} \cap \partial f(B(x_0, \varepsilon)) = \varnothing$. Let as above, $R = G \setminus (C_0 \cup C_1)$ and $R^* = R \cup C_0 \cup C_1$, then $R^* := G$. Let us remark that σ separates C_0 from C_1 in $R^* = G$. Indeed, we have that $\sigma \cap R$ is closed in R, besides of that, let $A := G \setminus \overline{f(B(x_0, \varepsilon))}$ and $B = f(B(x_0, \varepsilon))$, then A and B are open in $G \setminus \sigma$, $C_0 \subset A$, $C_1 \subset B$ and $G \setminus \sigma = A \cup B$.

Let Σ be a family of all sets which separate C_0 from C_1 in G. Since for open mappings $\partial f(G) \subset f(\partial G)$ whenever G is a compact subdomain of D, we have $\partial f(B(x_0, r)) \subset \partial f(B(x_0, r))$ whenever $r \in (0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$.

Let $\rho \in \operatorname{adm} \bigcup\limits_{r=r_1}^{r_2} f(S(x_0, r))$ in the sense of (1.1), the also $\rho^{n-1} \in \widetilde{\operatorname{adm}} \bigcup\limits_{r=r_1}^{r_2} f(S(x_0, r))$ in the sense of (2.4). Since $\partial f(B(x_0, r)) \subset \partial f(B(x_0, r))$, we obtain $\rho^{n-1} \in \widetilde{\operatorname{adm}} \bigcup\limits_{r=r_1}^{r_2} \partial f(B(x_0, r))$ and, consequently, by (2.3) we obtain

$$\widetilde{M}(\Sigma) \leq M\left(\bigcup_{r=r_1}^{r_2} f(S(x_0, r))\right). \tag{3.1}$$

However, by (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\widetilde{M}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{(M(\Gamma(C_0, C_1, G)))^{1/(n-1)}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $\Gamma_{f(E)}$ be a family of curves for a condenser $f(E)$ in the notions of the Proposition 2.1, then $\Gamma_{f(E)} \subset \Gamma(C_0, C_1, G)$ and $\Gamma_{f(E)} < \Gamma(C_0, C_1, G)$, so $M(\Gamma_{f(E)}) = M(\Gamma(C_0, C_1, G))$. From (3.2) and Proposition 2.1 we obtain that

$$\widetilde{M}^{n-1}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{cap} f(E)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Finally, from (3.1) and (3.3) we obtain the inequality

$$\operatorname{cap} f(E) \leq \frac{1}{M\left(\bigcup\limits_{r=r_1}^{r_2} f(S(x_0, r))\right)}. \tag{3.4}$$

By Lemma 2.2, from (3.4) we get

$$\operatorname{cap} f(E) \leq \frac{1}{\left(\int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{dr}{\|\,Q\|_{n-1}(r)}\right)^{n-1}} = \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{I^{*\,n-1}},$$

that is desired relation. $\Box$

4 Connection between Orlicz-Sobolev classes and lower Q–mappings

Following Orlicz, given a convex increasing function $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$, $\varphi(0) = 0$, denote by L_φ the space of all functions $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int\limits_D \varphi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)\, dm(x) < \infty$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. The class L_φ is called the *Orlicz space.* If $\varphi(t) = t^p$, then we write also L_p. In other words, L_φ is the cone over the class of all functions $g : D \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int\limits_D \varphi\left(|g(x)|\right)\, dm(x) < \infty$$

which is also called the *Orlicz class.*

The *Orlicz-Sobolev class* $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}(D)$ is the class of locally integrable functions f given in D with the first distributional derivatives whose gradient ∇f belongs locally in D to the Orlicz class. Note that by definition $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc} \subseteq W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$. As usual, we write $f \in W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}$ if $\varphi(t) = t^p$, $p \geq 1$.It is known that a continuous function f belongs to $W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}$ if and only if $f \in ACL^p$, i.e., if f is locally absolutely continuous on a.e. straight line which is parallel to a coordinate axis, and if the first partial derivatives of f are locally integrable with the power p, see, e.g., in [9, 1.1.3].

Later on, we also write $f \in W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ for a locally integrable vector-function $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ of n real variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ if $f_i \in W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ and

$$\int\limits_D \varphi\left(|\nabla f(x)|\right)\, dm(x) < \infty$$

where $|\nabla f(x)| = \sqrt{\sum\limits_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)^2}$. Note that in this paper we use the notation $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ for more general functions φ than in the classical Orlicz classes giving up the condition on convexity of φ. Note also that the Orlicz–Sobolev classes are intensively studied in various aspects at present.

Recall that a mapping f between domains D and D' in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is called of *finite distortion* if $f \in W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ and

$$\|f'(x)\|^n \leq K(x) \cdot J_f(x) \tag{4.1}$$

with a.e. finite function K where $\|f'(x)\|$ denotes the matrix norm of the Jacobian matrix f' of f at $x \in D$, $\|f'(x)\| = \sup\limits_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n, |h|=1} |f'(x) \cdot h|$, and $J_f(x) = {\rm det} f'(x)$ is its Jacobian. Later on, we use the notation $K_f(x)$ for the minimal function $K(x) \geq 1$ in (4.1), i.e., we set $K_f(x) = \|f'(x)\|^n / J_f(x)$ if $J_f(x) \neq 0$, $K_f(x) = 1$ if $f'(x) = 0$ and $K_f(x) = \infty$ at the rest points.

Given a mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, a set $E \subset D$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define a *multiplicity function* $N(y, f, E)$ as a number of the preimages of y in E, i.e. $N(y, f, E) = \text{card}\,\{x \in E : f(x) = y\}$, $N(f, E) = \sup\limits_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} N(y, f, E)$.

The following result give some possibilities for the investigation of the Orlicz–Sobolev mappings with branching. In particular, we deal with the investigation of the open discrete mappings of the class $W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let D be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. If $n = 2$, then each open discrete mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of finite distortion in the class $W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ is a lower Q–mapping at every point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with $Q(x) = N(f, D) \cdot K_f(x)$. Let $\varphi : (0, \infty) \to 0, \infty)$ be nondecreasing with the condition*

$$\int\limits_1^{\infty} \left[\frac{t}{\varphi(t)}\right]^{\frac{1}{n-2}} dt < \infty\,. \tag{4.2}$$

If $n \geq 3$, then every open discrete Q–mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of finite distortion in the class $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ is a lower Q–mapping at every point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with $Q(x) = N(f, D) \cdot K_f(x)$.

Proof. Note that f is differentiable almost everywhere, see [10, Theorem 2.1] at $n \geq 3$ and [11, Theorem 3.1,§ 3, Ch. III] and [12, section III.5, Ch. V] at $n = 2$. Let B be a (Borel) set of all points $x \in D$ where f has a total differential $f'(x)$ and $J_f(x) \neq 0$. Then, applying Kirszbraun's theorem and uniqueness of approximate differential, see e.g. in [1, 2.10.43 and 3.1.2], we see that B is the union of a countable collection of Borel sets B_l, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that $f_l = f|_{B_l}$ are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, see [1, 3.2.2, 3.1.4 and 3.1.8]. With no loss of generality, we may assume that the B_l are mutually disjoint. Denote also by B_* the set of all points $x \in D$ where f has the total differential but with $f'(x) = 0$.

By the construction the set $B_0 := D \setminus (B \bigcup B_*)$ has Lebesgue measure zero. Hence by [3, Theorem 9.1], $H^{n-1}(B_0 \cap S_r) = 0$ for almost every sphere $S_r := S(x_0, r)$ centered at $x_0 \in \overline{D}$, were "almost every" is understood in the sense of conformal modulus of the family of surfaces. By Lemma 2.1, $H^{n-1}(B_0 \cap S_r) = 0$ for almost every $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us consider a case $n = 2$. Let us consider the division of the set $D_* := B(x_0, \varepsilon_0) \cap D \setminus \{x_0\}$, $0 < \varepsilon_0 < d_0 = \sup\limits_{x\in D} |x-x_0|$, by countable number of spherical segments A_k, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Let φ_k be auxiliary quasiisometry which maps the A_k onto some rectangular $\widetilde{A_k}$ such that the arcs of the spheres are mapped onto the straight segments under which. Let us consider the family of mappings $g_k = f \circ \varphi_k^{-1}$, $g_k : \widetilde{A_k} \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Let us remark that $g_k \in W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$, see [9, section 1.1.7], and $g_k \in ACL$, see [9, section 1.1.3]. Since absolute continuity on fixed straight segment implies N–property with respect to 1–dimensional Lebesgue measure, see [1, section 2.10.13], we get that $H^1(f(B_0 \cap A_k \cap S_r)) = 0$ and, by subadditivity of Hausdorff measure, $H^1(f(B_0 \cap S_r)) = 0$ for almost every $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Follow, let us show that $H^1(f(B_* \cap S_r)) = 0$ for almost every $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, let φ_k, g_k and A_k be as above, $A_k = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = re^{i\varphi}, r \in (r_{k-1}, r_k), \varphi \in (\varphi_{k-1}, \varphi_k)\}$, and let $S_k(r)$ be a part of the sphere $S(x_0, r)$ lying in the spherical segment A_k, i.e., $S_k(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = re^{i\varphi}, \varphi \in (\varphi_{k-1}, \varphi_k)\}$. By construction, φ_k maps $S_k(r)$ on the segment $I(k, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z =$

$r+te, t\in(\varphi_{k-1},\varphi_k), e=(0,1)\}$. Applying [1, Theorem 3.2.5], we obtain that

$$H^1(g_k(\varphi_k(B_*\cap S_k(r))))=\int\limits_{\varphi_k(B_*\cap S_k(r))}|g_k'(r+te)|dt=0$$

for almost every $r\in(r_{k-1},r_k)$. Consequently, $H^1(g_k(\varphi_k(B_*\cap S_k(r))))=0$ for almost every $r\in(r_{k-1},r_k)$. It follows from above that $H^1(f(B_*\cap S_k(r)))=0$ for almost every $r\in(r_{k-1},r_k)$. By subadditivity of the Hausdorff measure, $H^1(f(B_*\cap S_r))=0$ for almost every $r\in\mathbb{R}$, that is desired conclusion.

Suppose that $n\geq 3$. By [10, Corollary 3.3], from the condition $H^{n-1}(B_0\cap S_r)=0$ for almost every $r\in\mathbb{R}$ we obtain that $H^{n-1}(f(B_0\cap S_r))=0$ for almost every $r\in\mathbb{R}$. Follow, by [10, Corollary 3.3] we also have that $H^{n-1}(f(B_*\cap S_r))=0$ for almost every $r\in\mathbb{R}$.

Let Γ be the family of all intersections of the spheres S_r, $r\in(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)$, $\varepsilon_0<d_0=\sup\limits_{x\in D}|x-x_0|$, with the domain D. Given $\rho_*\in\operatorname{adm}f(\Gamma)$, $\rho_*\equiv 0$ outside of $f(D)$, set $\rho\equiv 0$ outside of D and on B_0,

$$\rho(x)\ :=\ \rho_*(f(x))\|f'(x)\|\qquad\text{for } x\in D\setminus B_0\,.$$

Given $S_r^*\in f(\Gamma)$, $S_r^*=f(S_r)$, let us remark that

$$S_r^*=\bigcup\limits_{i=0}^{\infty}f(S_r\cap B_i)\bigcup f(S_r\cap B_*)\,,$$

and, consequently, for a.e. $r\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$

$$1\leq\int\limits_{S_r^*}\rho_*^{n-1}(y)d\mathcal{A}_*\leq\sum\limits_{i=0}^{\infty}\int\limits_{f(S_r\cap B_i)}\rho_*^{n-1}(y)N(f(S_r\cap B_i),y)dH^{n-1}y+ \tag{4.3}$$

$$+\int\limits_{f(S_r\cap B_*)}\rho_*^{n-1}(y)N(f(S_r\cap B_*),y)dH^{n-1}y\,.$$

From the proving above, we get from (4.3) that

$$1\leq\int\limits_{S_r^*}\rho_*^{n-1}(y)d\mathcal{A}_*\leq\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}\int\limits_{f(S_r\cap B_i)}\rho_*^{n-1}(y)N(f(S_r\cap B_i),y)dH^{n-1}y \tag{4.4}$$

for a.e. $r\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$. Arguing piecewise on B_i, $i=1,2,\ldots$, we have by [1, 1.7.6 and Theorem 3.2.5] that

$$\int\limits_{B_i\cap S_r}\rho^{n-1}\,d\mathcal{A}=\int\limits_{B_i\cap S_r}\rho_*^{n-1}(f(x))\|f'(x)\|^{n-1}\,d\mathcal{A}=$$

$$=\int\limits_{B_i\cap S_r}\rho_*^{n-1}(f(x))\cdot\frac{\|f'(x)\|^{n-1}}{\frac{d\mathcal{A}_*}{d\mathcal{A}}}\cdot\frac{d\mathcal{A}_*}{d\mathcal{A}}\,d\mathcal{A}\geq\int\limits_{B_i\cap S_r}\rho_*^{n-1}(f(x))\cdot\frac{d\mathcal{A}_*}{d\mathcal{A}}\,d\mathcal{A}=$$

$$=\int\limits_{f(B_i\cap S_r)}\rho_*^{n-1}\,N(f(S_r\cap B_i),y)dH^{n-1}y \tag{4.5}$$

for a.e. $r \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. It follows from (4.4) and (4.5) that $\rho \in \operatorname{ext} \operatorname{adm} \Gamma$.

The change of variables on each B_l, $l = 1, 2, \dots$, see e.g. [1, Theorem 3.2.5], and countable additivity of integrals give the estimate

$$\int\limits_{D} \frac{\rho^n(x)}{K_f(x)}\, dm(x) \;\leq\; \int\limits_{f(D)} N(f, D) \cdot \rho_*^n(y)\, dm(y)$$

and the proof is complete. □

The following statement directly follows from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.1. *Let D be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. If $n = 2$, then each open discrete mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of finite distortion in the class $W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ is a ring Q–mapping at every point $x_0 \in D$ with $Q(x) = N(f, D) \cdot K_f(x)$. Let $\varphi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be nondecreasing with the condition (4.2). If $n \geq 3$, then every open discrete Q–mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of finite distortion in the class $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ is a ring Q–mapping at every point $x_0 \in D$ with $Q(x) = (N(f, D) \cdot K_f(x))^{n-1}$.*

5 Applications to equicontinuous (normal) classes of mappings

Given a set $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ of positive capacity, let us define by $\mathfrak{R}_{\varphi,Q,N,E}$ the family of the open discrete mappings of Orlicz–Sobolev class $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus E$ with finite distortion such that $N(f, D) \leq N$, $K_f^{n-1} \leq Q(x)$ a.e. and φ satisfies the (4.2). Follow, given a set $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ let us define by $\mathfrak{F}_{Q,N,E}$ the family of the open discrete mappings of Sobolev class $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ with finite distortion such that $N(f, D) \leq N$ and $K_f \leq Q(x)$ a.e. Taking into account the result of the work [13], see Theorem 5.1 and 5.2 here, as well as [14, Theorem 2 and Corollary 1], we obtain the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Classes $\mathfrak{R}_{\varphi,Q,N,E}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{Q,N,E}$ are equicontinuous (normal) families of mappings provided that at least one of the following conditions take a place:*

1) $Q \in FMO(x_0)$; 2) $q_{x_0}(r) \leq C \cdot (\log \frac{1}{r})^{n-1}$, where $C > 0$ is some positive constant and $q_{x_0}(r)$ is the integral average of $Q(x)$ under the sphere $S(x_0, r)$; 3)

$$\int\limits_0^{\varepsilon_0} \frac{dt}{t q_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(t)} = \infty$$

for some $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(x_0)$, where $q_{x_0}(r)$ as above.

References

[1] H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory,* Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1969.

[2] F.W. Gehring, *Rings and quasiconformal mappings in space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1962), 353–393.

[3] O. Martio, V. Ryazanov, U. Srebro, E. Yakubov, *Moduli in Modern Mapping Theory*, New York: Springer Science + Business Media, LLC, 2009.

[4] S. Saks, *Theory of the Integral,* New York: Dover Publ. Inc., 1964.

[5] S. Rickman, *Quasiregular mappings*, Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[6] E. Sevost'yanov, *On the integral characterization of some generalized quasiregular mappings and the significance of the conditions of divergence of integrals in the geometric theory of functions*, Ukrainian Math. Journal, **61** (2009), no. 10, 1610–1623.

[7] W.P. Ziemer, *Extremal length and conformal capacity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **126** (1967), no. 3, 460–473.

[8] J. Hesse, *A $p-$extremal length and $p-$capacity equality*, Ark. Mat., **13** (1975), 131–144.

[9] V. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[10] D.A. Kovtonyuk, V.I. Ryazanov, R.R. Salimov and E.A. Sevost'yanov, *On mappings in the Orlicz-Sobolev classes*, Annals of the University of Bucharest (mathematical series), **3 (LXI)** (2012), 67–78.

[11] O. Lehto and K. Virtanen, *Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane*, New York etc.: Springer, 1973.

[12] S. Stoïlow, *Leçons sur les principes topologiques de la théorie des fonctions analytiques*, Paris: Gauthier-Villars, 1956.

[13] E.A. Sevost'yanov, *Theory of Moduli, Capacities, and Normal Families of Mappings Admitting Branching*, Ukr. Math. Visnyk, **4** (2007), no. 4, 573–593.

[14] E.A. Sevost'yanov, *On the integral characterization of some generalized quasiregular mappings and the significance of the conditions of divergence of integrals in the geometric theory of function*, Ukrainian Math. Journ., **61** (2009), no. 10, 1610–1623.

Evgenii A. Sevost'yanov
Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics,
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,
74 Roze Luxemburg str., 83114 Donetsk, UKRAINE
Phone: +38 – (062) – 3110145,
Email: brusin2006@rambler.ru